1 2	TERRY GODDARD Attorney General (Firm State Bar No. 14000)	
3	STEPHEN A. WOLF	
4	Assistant Attorney General State Bar No. 018722	
5	1275 W. Washington, CIV/LES Phoenix, Arizona 85007-2997	
6	Tel: (602) 542-7027 Fax: (602) 362-3202	
7	Attorneys for the Arizona Regulatory Board of Physician Assistants	
8	ARIZONA REGULATORY BOARD OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS	
9		
10		
11	In the Matter of	David Case No. DA 04 0000
12	MICHAEL C. PASCHAL, P.AC.	Board Case No. PA-04-0009
13	Holder of License No. 2851 To Perform Health Care Tasks	CONSENT AGREEMENT FOR LETTER OF REPRIMAND
14	As a Physician Assistant	
15	In the State of Arizona.	
16	In the interest of a prompt and judicious settlement of this case, consistent with the	
17	public interest, statutory requirements and responsibilities of the Arizona Regulatory	
18	Board of Physician Assistants ("Board"), and pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 32-2501 et seq. and	
19	41-1092.07(F)(5), the undersigned party, Michael C. Paschal, P.AC., holder of License	
20	No. 2851 to perform health care tasks as a physician assistant in the State of Arizona	
21	("Respondent"), and the Board enter into the following Recitals, Findings of Fact, Con-	
22	clusions of Law and Order ("Consent Agreement") as the final disposition of this matter.	
23	RECITALS	
24	1. Respondent acknowledges that he has read and understands this Consent	
25	Agreement and the stipulated Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order ("Consent	
26		

2

3 4

5

б 7

9

8

10 11

12

14

10

17 .18

19

20 21

24

DATED: 2-22-05

- Agreement). Respondent understands he has the right to consult with legal counsel regarding this matter and has done so or chooses not to do so.
- 2. Respondent understands that by entering into this Consent Agreement, he voluntarily relinquishes any rights to a hearing or judicial review in state or federal court, or to challenge this Consent Agreement in its entirety as issued by the Board, and waives any other cause of action related to or arising from this Consent Agreement.
- 3. Although the Executive Director has not issued the Consent Agreement, Respondent understands that upon signing this Consent Agreement, and returning it to the Board, he may not revoke his acceptance of this Consent Agreement or make any modifications to it. Any modifications to this original document are ineffective and void unless mutually approved by the parties in writing.
- 4. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement shall not become effective unless and until it is adopted by the Board and signed by its Executive Director.
- 5. Respondent understands that if the Board does not adopt this Consent Agreement, he will not assert as a defense that the Board's consideration of this Consent Agreement constitutes bias, prejudice, prejudgment or other similar defense.
- 6. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement, once approved and signed, is a public record that may be publicly disseminated as a formal action of the Board, and shall be reported as required by law to the National Practitioner Data Bank and the Healthcare Integrity and Protection Data Bank.
- 7. If any part of this Consent Agreement is later declared void or otherwise unenforceable, the remainder of the Consent Agreement in its entirety shall remain in force and effect.

Muhuel C. Paschal, P.A.-C.

2

3 4

5

б

7

8 9

11

10

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

25

26

24

Reviewed and Approved as to Form:

FINDINGS OF FACT

The parties stipulate that this Consent Agreement represents a compromise of a disputed matter between the Board and Respondent, and agree to the entry of this Consent Order as the final disposition of the matters described herein:

- 1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for licensing and regulating the performance of health care tasks by physician assistants in the State of Arizona.
- 2. Respondent is the holder of License No. 2851 to perform health care tasks as a physician assistant in the State of Arizona. He also holds a license to perform health care tasks as a physician assistant in the State of Nevada.
- On or about March 24, 2004, the Board initiated Investigation No. PA-04-3. 0009 after receiving a complaint from a pharmacist in Fort Mohave, Arizona that Respondent repeatedly had prescribed controlled substances in amounts exceeding a 14day supply at the recommended level of use.
- On December 4, 2003, January 15, February 12 and March 11, 2004, Respondent prescribed 120 Lortab tablets (10mg/500mg) for Patient J.M. Advising the patient to take one tablet every four to six hours as needed, Respondent prescribed an amount adequate for at least 20 days of recommended use
- On December 4, 2003. February 13 and March 18, 2004, Respondent prescribed between 120-180 Vicodin tablets (10mg/660mg) for Patient A.A. Advising the patient to take one tablet every four to six hours as needed, Respondent prescribed an amount adequate for at least 20-30 days of recommended use.

6

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

20

19

21 22

23 24

25

26

- 6. Vicodin and Lortab contain a combination of hydrocodone bitartrate and acetaminophen. Hydrocodone is a semisynthetic narcotic analgesic and antitussive with multiple actions qualitatively similar to those of codeine. Its use is indicated for the relief of moderate to moderately severe pain. The tablets are classified as a schedule III controlled substance.
- 7. In Arizona, a physician assistant may not prescribe schedule II and III controlled substances for periods exceeding 72 hours unless he is certified for 14-day prescription privileges. A.R.S. § 32-2532(C).
- 8. At the time he prescribed the Vicodin and Lortab tablets to Patients J.M. and A.A. described above, Respondent was certified to prescribe those controlled substances for periods not to exceed 14 days.
- 9. However, in Nevada, a physician assistant has broader prescription privileges. N.R.S. § 630.271(2) ("[A] supervising physician shall limit the authority of a physician assistant to prescribe controlled substances to those schedules of controlled substances that the supervising physician is authorized to prescribe pursuant to state and federal law.)
- 10. Respondent is employed by the Center for Pain Management which operates facilities in Kingman, AZ., Bullhead City, AZ., Laughlin, NV. and Las Vegas, NV.
- 11. Respondent's prescriptions for Patients J.M. and A.A. were written on a script that lists the Center for Pain Management's facility in Las Vegas, Nevada. However, Respondent treated the patients at the Bullhead City, Arizona facility.
- 12. Respondent usually advised his patients that a prescription filled in Nevada would be filled for a one-month supply of medications, but the same prescription filled in Arizona would only be filled for a lesser appropriate amount.

13. Applicant admits that the above-described conduct constitutes unprofessional conduct in violation of A.R.S. § 32-2501(21)(a)(violation of any federal or state law or rule that applies to the performance of health care tasks as a physician assistant); A.R.S. § 32-2501(21)(i)(prescribing or dispensing controlled substances or prescription-only drugs for which the physician assistant is not approved or in excess of the amount authorized); and A.R.S. § 32-2501(j)(any conduct that is or might be harmful or dangerous to the health of a patient or the public).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Board possesses jurisdiction over the subject matter hereof and over Respondent.
- 2. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2501(21)(a) (Violation of any federal or state law or rule that applies to the performance of health care tasks as a physician assistant.) It is a violation of Arizona law for a certified physician assistant to prescribe schedule II and III controlled substances for a period exceeding 14 days. A.R.S. § 32-2532(C).
- 3. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2501(21)(i) (Prescribing or dispensing controlled substances or prescription-only drugs for which the physician assistant is not approved or in excess of the amount authorized pursuant to this chapter).
- 4. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2501(21)(j) (Any conduct that is or might be harmful or dangerous to the health of a patient or the public).

ORDER

Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and pursuant to the authority granted to the Board by A.R.S. §§ 32-2501 et seq. and 41-1092.07 (F)(5),

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent shall be issued a Letter of Reprimand for 2 prescribing schedule III controlled substances for periods exceeding 14 days, which may 3 have been harmful or dangerous to the health of his patients. 4 day of March, 2005. 5 ARIZONA REGULATORY BOARD OF 6 PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS 7 8 By: C. MILLER, ESO. 9 **Executive Director** 10 ORIGINAL OF THE FOREGOING FILED this day of March, 2005, with: 11 Arizona Regulatory Board of Physician Assistants 12 9545 E. Doubletree Ranch Road Scottsdale, Arizona 85258 13 EXECUTED COPY OF THE FOREGOING MAILED this day of March, 2005, to: 14 15 Michael C. Paschal, P.A.-C (Address of Record on file with the Board) 16 Respondent 17 Kent E. Turley, Esq. Turley Swan & Childers, P.C. 18 3101 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1300 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2643 19 Attorneys for Respondent 20 Stephen A. Wolf, Esq. Assistant Attorney General 1275 W. Washington Street, CIV/LES 21 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 22 Attorneys for the Arizona Regulatory Board of Physician Assistants 23 24 25 #439826

Page 6 of 6

26